LIMITED S	TATES OF AME	DICA				
UNITEDS		MCA,		No. 10-C	R-3018-LR	D
	Plaintiff,					
VS.				VERDI	CT FORM	
MEGGAN	ALEXANDER,			CO	UNT 1	
	Defendant.					
		-				
We,	the Jury, 1	unanimously	find	defendant	Meggan	Alexander
Guilt	of the	e crime charge	ed in Co	ount 1.		
Guilty/ Not 0	Guilty					
	NOTE: If you up Count 1, proceed	d to the next V	erdict I	Form.		
	If you unanimou defendant guilty Count 1.	(T)				
			/s/			x .
			FOI	REPERSON		
				12	10/1	D
			DA'			

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR
VS.	INTERROGATORY FORM
MEGGAN ALEXANDER,	COUNT 1
Defendant.	
If you found the defendant, Meggan	Alexander, guilty of Count 1, place a check
mark () below next to the statement or	statements that you find were made in the
commission of the offense:	,
Systems on April 2, 20 falsely represented that against her	t she did not have an outstanding judgment ty of approximately \$1,600.
	/s/
	FOREPERSON
	12/10/10
	DATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MEGGAN ALEXANDER,

Defendant.

No. 10-CR-3018-LRR

VERDICT FORM

COUNT 2

We, the Jury, unanimously find defendant Meggan Alexander

Guilty of the crime charged in Count 2.

Guilty/ Not Guilty

NOTE: If you unanimously found the defendant not guilty of Count 2, proceed to the next Verdict Form.

If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of Count 2, proceed to Interrogatory Form Count 2.

/s/

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR
vs.	INTERROGATORY FORM
MEGGAN ALEXANDER,	COUNT 2
Defendant.	
	Alexander, guilty of Count 2, place a check statements that you find were made in the
	at she was employed by Comprehensive
Systems on April 2, 200 falsely represented that against her	t she did not have an outstanding judgment
falsely omitted a liabilit	ty of approximately \$1,600
	FOREPERSON
	12/10/10
	DATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR
vs.	VERDICT FORM
MEGGAN ALEXANDER,	COUNT 3
Defendant.	
_	

We, the Jury, unanimously find defendant Meggan Alexander

Not Guilty of the crime charged in Count 3.

Guilty/ Not Guilty

NOTE: If you unanimously found the defendant not guilty of Count 3, proceed to the next Verdict Form.

If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of Count 3, proceed to Interrogatory Form Count 3.

FOREPERSON

DATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR
vs. MEGGAN ALEXANDER,	INTERROGATORY FORM COUNT 3
Defendant.	
100 mm	Alexander, guilty of Count 3, place a check statements that you find were made in the
	her employer was Comprehensive Systems Comprehensive Systems's telephone number
	FOREPERSON
	DATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MEGGAN ALEXANDER

Defendant.

No. 10-CR-3018-LRR

VERDICT FORM
COUNT 4

We, the Jury, unanimously find defendant Meggan Alexander

Guilty of the crime charged in Count 4.

Guilty/ Not Guilty

NOTE: If you unanimously found the defendant not guilty of Count 4, proceed to the next Verdict Form.

If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of Count 4, proceed to Interrogatory Form Count 4.

FOREPERSON

12/10/10 DATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR		
vs.			
	INTERROGATORY FORM		
MEGGAN ALEXANDER,	COUNT 4		
Defendant.			
If you found the defendant, Meggan	Alexander, guilty of Count 4, place a check		
mark (1) below next to the statement or statements that you find were made in the			
commission of the offense:			
falsely represented that	at she was employed by Comprehensive		
Systems at the time the	e defendants purchased the home on North		
Adams in Mason City,	Iowa		
falsely represented that	falsely represented that she quit her job at Comprehensive Systems in		
June 2007.	/s/		
	FOREPERSON		
	12/10/10		
	DATE		

UNITED STATES OF AMERI	VITE	D ST	ATES	OF	AMI	ERICA	
------------------------	------	------	------	----	-----	-------	--

Plaintiff,

VS.

DAVID ALEXANDER,

Defendant.

No. 10-CR-3018-LRR

VERDICT FORM

COUNT 4

We, the Jury, unanimously find defendant David Alexander

Not Guilty of the crime charged in Count 4.

Guilty/ Not Guilty

NOTE: If you unanimously found the defendant not guilty of Count 4, proceed to the next Verdict Form.

If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of Count 4, proceed to Interrogatory Form Count 4.

/s/

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR		
VS.			
	INTERROGATORY FORM		
DAVID ALEXANDER,	COUNT 4		
Defendant.	8		
· ·			
If you found the defendant, David Ale	xander, guilty of Count 4, place a check mark		
(1) below next to the statement or statements that you find were made in the commission			
of the offense:			
falsely represented that	defendant Meggan Alexander was employed		
by Comprehensive Syst	ems at the time the defendants purchased the		
home on North Adams	in Mason City, Iowa		
	defendant Meggan Alexander quit her job at		
And the desired and the second and t	Comprehensive Systems in June 2007.		
/s/			
	FOREPERSON		
	TORETERSON		
	12/10/10		
	DATE		

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff, vs. MEGGAN ALEXANDER, Defendant.	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR VERDICT FORM COUNT 5			
We, the Jury, unanimously find defendant Meggan Alexande Guilty of the crime charged in Count 5. Guilty/ Not Guilty NOTE: If you unanimously found the defendant not guilty of Count 5, proceed to the next Verdict Form.				
If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of Count 5, proceed to Interrogatory Form Count 5.				

DATE 12/10/10

/s/

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,			
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR		
MEGGAN ALEXANDER, Defendant.	INTERROGATORY FORM COUNT 5		
	Alexander, guilty of Count 5, place a check statements that you find were made in the		
	falsely represented that she was employed as a nurse at the time the defendants purchased their home in April 2007		
N 200 AND WE SHOW	falsely represented that she quit her job as a nurse months after moving into the home in April 2007. FOREPERSON		
	12/10 (10 DATE		

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,		No. 10-CR	4-3018-LR	.R
vs. DAVID ALEXANDER,	-		T FORM INT 5	
Defendant.				
We, the Jury, unanimously	find	defendant	David	Alexander
Guilty of the crime charge	ed in Cou	ınt 5.		
Guilty/ Not Guilty				
NOTE: If you unanimously fo Count 5, do not proceed to the			-	

If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of Count 5, proceed to Interrogatory Form Count 5.

> /s/ **FOREPERSON**

12/10/10 DATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR	
vs.	50	
	INTERROGATORY FORM	
DAVID ALEXANDER,	COUNT 5	
Defendant.		
If you found the defendant, David Ale	xander, guilty of Count 5, place a check mark	
(\checkmark) below next to the statement or statement	s that you find were made in the commission	
of the offense:		
falsely represented that	defendant Meggan Alexander was employed	
as a nurse at the time the	ne defendants purchased their home in April	
2007		
falsely represented that	defendant Meggan Alexander quit her job as	
a nurse months after mo	oving into the home in April 2007.	
	FOREPERSON	
	1 1	
	12/10/10	
	DATE	

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR
vs.	VERDICT FORM
MEGGAN ALEXANDER,	COUNT 1

Defendant.

We, the Jury, unanimously find defendant Meggan Alexander

Guilty Not Guilty

Of the crime charged in Count 1.

NOTE: If you unanimously found the defendant not guilty of Count 1, proceed to the next Verdict Form.

If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of Count 1, proceed to Interrogatory Form Count 1.

FOREPERSON

12 10 10 DATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,		
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR	
VS.		
MEGGAN ALEXANDER,	INTERROGATORY FORM COUNT 1	
Defendant.	e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e e	
If you found the defendant, Meggan	Alexander, guilty of Count 1, place a check	
mark (\checkmark) below next to the statement or	statements that you find were made in the	
commission of the offense:		
falsely represented that she was employed by Comprehensive Systems on April 2, 2007		
/	falsely represented that she did not have an outstanding judgment	
against her		
falsely omitted a liability of approximately \$1,600.		
	Clory Slew FOREPERSONS	
	12/10/10 DATE	

TIN	TTTT	OTATEO	OF	ANCEDICA
UN	HED	STATES	OF	AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

VS.

MEGGAN ALEXANDER,

Defendant.

No. 10-CR-3018-LRR

VERDICT FORM
COUNT 2

We, the Jury, unanimously find defendant Meggan Alexander

Guilty of the crime charged in Count 2.

Guilty/ Not Guilty

NOTE: If you unanimously found the defendant not guilty of Count 2, proceed to the next Verdict Form.

If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of Count 2, proceed to Interrogatory Form Count 2.

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR
VS.	INTERROGATORY FORM
MEGGAN ALEXANDER,	COUNT 2
Defendant.	
	e
If you found the defendant, Meggan	Alexander, guilty of Count 2, place a check
mark (\checkmark) below next to the statement or	statements that you find were made in the
commission of the offense:	
Systems on April 2, 200 falsely represented that against her	at she was employed by Comprehensive 07 t she did not have an outstanding judgment by of approximately \$1,600 FOREPERSON
	DATE 12/10/10

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

VS.

MEGGAN ALEXANDER,

Defendant.

No. 10-CR-3018-LRR

VERDICT FORM

COUNT 3

We, the Jury, unanimously find defendant Meggan Alexander

Not Guilty of the crime charged in Count 3.

Guilty/ Not Guilty

NOTE: If you unanimously found the defendant not guilty of Count 3, proceed to the next Verdict Form.

If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of Count 3, proceed to Interrogatory Form Count 3.

FOREPERSON '

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR
MEGGAN ALEXANDER, Defendant.	INTERROGATORY FORM COUNT 3
CO. 42 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27 - 27 -	Alexander, guilty of Count 3, place a check statements that you find were made in the
	her employer was Comprehensive Systems Comprehensive Systems's telephone number FOREPERSON DATE

UNITED	STATES	OF	AMERICA.
--------	--------	----	----------

Plaintiff,

VS.

MEGGAN ALEXANDER

Defendant.

No. 10-CR-3018-LRR

VERDICT FORM COUNT 4

We, the Jury, unanimously find defendant Meggan Alexander

Guilty of the crime charged in Count 4.

Guilty/ Not Guilty

NOTE: If you unanimously found the defendant not guilty of Count 4, proceed to the next Verdict Form.

If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of Count 4, proceed to Interrogatory Form Count 4.

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR
MEGGAN ALEXANDER, Defendant.	INTERROGATORY FORM COUNT 4
	Alexander, guilty of Count 4, place a check statements that you find were made in the
falsely represented that she was employed by Comprehensive Systems at the time the defendants purchased the home on North Adams in Mason City, Iowa falsely represented that she quit her job at Comprehensive Systems in	
June 2007.	FOREPERSON Slum
	15/10/10 DATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.

Plaintiff,

VS.

DAVID ALEXANDER,

Defendant.

No. 10-CR-3018-LRR

VERDICT FORM
COUNT 4

We, the Jury, unanimously find defendant David Alexander

Not Guilty of the crime charged in Count 4.

Guilty/ Not Guilty

NOTE: If you unanimously found the defendant not guilty of Count 4, proceed to the next Verdict Form.

If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of Count 4, proceed to Interrogatory Form Count 4.

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR
vs.	INTERROGATORY FORM
DAVID ALEXANDER, Defendant.	COUNT 4
	exander, guilty of Count 4, place a check mark is that you find were made in the commission
falsely represented that defendant Meggan Alexander was employed by Comprehensive Systems at the time the defendants purchased the home on North Adams in Mason City, Iowa falsely represented that defendant Meggan Alexander quit her job at Comprehensive Systems in June 2007. FOREPERSON	
	DATE 12/10/10

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR
vs. MEGGAN ALEXANDER,	VERDICT FORM COUNT 5
Defendant.	
We, the Jury, unanimously Guilty of the crime charge	find defendant Meggan Alexander ed in Count 5.
NOTE: If you unanimously fo	and the defendant not guilty of

NOTE: If you unanimously found the defendant not guilty of Count 5, proceed to the next Verdict Form.

If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of Count 5, proceed to Interrogatory Form Count 5.

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR
vs. MEGGAN ALEXANDER,	INTERROGATORY FORM COUNT 5
Defendant.	
and of the control o	Alexander, guilty of Count 5, place a check statements that you find were made in the
	she was employed as a nurse at the time the neir home in April 2007
falsely represented that moving into the home is	t she quit her job as a nurse months after n April 2007. FOREPERSON
	12/10 (10 DATE

UNITED STATES OF AMERI Plaintiff,	CA, No. 10-CR-3018-LRR
vs. DAVID ALEXANDER,	VERDICT FORM COUNT 5
Defendant.	
-	

We, the Jury, unanimously find defendant David Alexander

Guilty Not Guilty

Of the crime charged in Count 5.

NOTE: If you unanimously found the defendant not guilty of Count 5, do not proceed to the next Interrogatory Form.

If you unanimously and beyond a reasonable doubt found the defendant guilty of Count 5, proceed to Interrogatory Form Count 5.

FOREPERSON

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	
Plaintiff,	No. 10-CR-3018-LRR
DAVID ALEXANDER, Defendant.	INTERROGATORY FORM COUNT 5
	exander, guilty of Count 5, place a check mark ts that you find were made in the commission
	defendant Meggan Alexander was employed he defendants purchased their home in April
	defendant Meggan Alexander quit her job as oving into the home in April 2007. FOREPERSON
	12/10/10 DATE